Minotaur's labyrinth in complex networks & Explosive percolations

B. Kahng Dept of Physics & Astronomy Seoul National University, Korea



Mathematical Physics of Complex Networks: From Graph Theory to Biological Physics At MPI, Dresden, May 14-18, 2012

**http://cnrc.snu.ac.kr**

#### **http://www.statphys25.org**

SITEMAP | CONTACT US







# Minotaur's labyrinth in SF networks

**-- Random walks effectively trapped at local hubs**

B. Kahng Dept of Physics & Astronomy Seoul National University, Korea

With Sungmin Hwang and D.-S. Lee



Mathematical Physics of Complex Networks: From Graph Theory to Biological Physics At MPI, Dresden, May 14-18, 2012

**http://cnrc.snu.ac.kr**

# Random walks on a scale-free network

andom walks on a scale-free network

\n
$$
p_{is}(t) = \sum_{j \in nn(i)} \frac{1}{k_j} p_{js}(t-1)
$$
\nProbability that a RWer  
\noccupies at node *i* at time *t*, starting from node *s* at *t*=0.

\n
$$
p_{is}(t \rightarrow \infty) = \frac{k_i}{2L}
$$
\nNoh and Rieger, PRL (2004)

m walks on a scale-free network<br>=  $\sum_{j \in nn(i)} \frac{1}{k_j} p_{js}(t-1)$  Probability that a RWer<br>occupies at node *i* at time *t*,<br>starting from node *s* at *t*=0.<br>*k*. occupies at node *i* at time *t*, starting from node *s* at *t*=0. **Solution 19**  $\mathbf{w}$  **and Rieger, PRL (2004)**<br>  $\Rightarrow \infty$ ) =  $\frac{k_i}{2L} p_{js}(t-1)$  **Probability that a RWer**<br> **Starting from node** *s* **at** *t***=0.<br>
Noh and Rieger, PRL (2004)** 

$$
p_{is}(t \to \infty) = \frac{k_i}{2L}
$$



occupies at node *i* at time *t*,  
starting from node *s* at *t*=0.  
Noh and Rieger, PRL (2004)  

$$
P_o(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{s=1} P_{ss}(t)
$$

Purposes:

Probability to return to the origin  $P_{\circ}$ 

First passage time:

$$
P_{o}(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{s=1}^{N} p_{ss}(t)
$$

$$
p_{ss}(t) = ?
$$

$$
p_{ss}(t)=?|
$$

- First passage time distribution

- Mean first passage time

as a function of  $d_s$  and  $\gamma$ .  $\rightarrow$  It shows crossover behaviors

- Many studies on these have been performed on deterministic SF nets,
- but not on un-deterministic networks, or
- asymptotic behaviors for some limited cases

$$
P_{\rm o}(t)\sim t^{-d_s/2}
$$



# (2,4)-flower model



### Probability to return to the origin



Probability to return to a given starting node s

ability to return to a given starting node *s*  
\n
$$
p_{is}(t \rightarrow \infty) = \frac{k_i}{2L} \qquad p_{is}(t) = \frac{\hat{k}_i(t)}{2\hat{L}(t)}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{k}_i(t) = \sum_{j \in m(i)} \hat{L}_{ij}(t) \qquad \text{Sum of the link accessibility from node j to i}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{L}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{k}_i(t) / 2 \qquad \text{Number of accessed links}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{L}(t) = \frac{}{2P_o(t-2)} \sim t^{d_s/2} \qquad \text{cf. } S(t) \sim t^{d_s/2} \qquad \text{Number of distinct sites visited}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{k}_h(t) \sim \hat{L}(t)^{1/(r-1)} \qquad \text{Similar to natural cutoff relation}
$$

orde  $\frac{\hat{k}_i(t)}{2\hat{L}(t)}$ <br>ty from node j to i  $i \in nn(i)$  $\displaystyle \qquad =\sum_{i}\widehat{L}_{ij}(t)\quad \ \ \textsf{Sum of the link accessibility from}\,\, \mathsf{r}$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ Sum of the link accessibility from node j to i

$$
\widehat{L}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \widehat{k}_{i}(t) / 2
$$
 Number of accessed links

$$
p_{is}(t \to \infty) = \frac{k_i}{2L} \qquad p_{is}(t) = \frac{k_i(t)}{2\hat{L}(t)}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{k}_i(t) = \sum_{j \in nn(i)} \hat{L}_{ij}(t) \qquad \text{Sum of the link accessibility from node j to i}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{L}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{k}_i(t)/2 \qquad \text{Number of accessed links}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{L}(t) = \frac{< k>}{2P_o(t-2)} \sim t^{d_s/2} \qquad \text{cf. } S(t) \sim t^{d_s/2} \qquad \text{Number of distinct sites visited}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{k}_h(t) \sim \hat{L}(t)^{1/(\gamma-1)} \qquad \text{Similar to natural cutoff relation}
$$
\n
$$
\sim t^{d_s/2(\gamma-1)} \qquad \text{Similar to natural cutoff relation}
$$

$$
\widehat{k}_{h}(t) \sim \widehat{L}(t)^{1/(\gamma-1)} \qquad \text{Simila}
$$

$$
\sim t^{d_{s}/2(\gamma-1)}
$$

Similar to natural cutoff relation



 $time: 8$ 







 $time: 14$ 







Probability to return-to-origin in random SF nets

$$
\hat{k}_h \sim \begin{cases}\nt^{d_s/2(\gamma-1)} & \text{for } t \ll t_x & t_x \sim k_h^{2(\gamma-1)/d_s} \sim L^{2/d_s} \\
k_h & \text{for } t \gg t_x\n\end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
p_{hh}(t) = \frac{\hat{k}_h(t)}{2\hat{L}(t)} \sim \begin{cases}\nt^{-d_s^{(\text{hub})}/2} & \text{for } t \ll t_x, \\
\frac{k_h}{2L} & \text{for } t \gg t_x,\n\end{cases}
$$

$$
d_s^{\text{(hub)}} = d_s \frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1}
$$

$$
\hat{k}_s \sim \begin{cases} t^{d_s/2(\gamma-1)} & \text{for } t \ll t_c(s) \\ k_s & \text{for } t \gg t_c(s) \end{cases} \qquad t_c(s) \sim k_s^{2(\gamma-1)/d_s}
$$

$$
\hat{k}_s \sim \begin{cases} t^{d_s/2(\gamma-1)} & \text{for } t \ll t_c(s) \\ k_s & \text{for } t \gg t_c(s) \end{cases} \qquad t_c(s) \sim k_s^{2(\gamma-1)/d_s}
$$

$$
p_{ss}(t) \sim \begin{cases} t^{-d_s^{(\text{hub})}/2} & \text{for } t \ll t_c(s), \\ k_s t^{-d_s/2} & \text{for } t_c(s) \ll t \ll t_x, \\ \frac{k_s}{2L} & \text{for } t \gg t_x. \end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
d_s^{(\text{hub})} = d_s \frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1}
$$
\n
$$
\text{when } \gamma \to 2, \ d_s^{(\text{hub})} \to 0, \text{ and } p_{ss}(t) \to \text{const. during } t_c(s).
$$
\nRandom walks are trapped at local hubs, Minotaur's laboratory.

$$
d_s^{(\text{hub})} = d_s \frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1}
$$

Random walks are trapped at local hubs, Minotaur's labyrinth.

Effective degree of starting node vs time





Probability to return to the origin on the WWW



First passage time distribution for RWs  
\n
$$
F_m(t) = \sum_{s=1}^{N} \frac{k_s}{2L} F_{m s}(t)
$$
\nFPT probability for RWs  
\nstarting from s to m

FPT probability for RWs starting from  $s$  to  $m$ 

Using the renewal equation,

Using the renewal equation,  
\n
$$
p_{m s}(t) = \delta_{m s} \delta_{t0} + \sum_{t'=0}^{t} F_{m s}(t') p_{m m}(t-t')
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_m(z) = \frac{k_m z}{2L (1 - z)} \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_m(z)}
$$

# Phase diagram in  $(d_s, \gamma)$  space







(III)  $d_c < d_s$ 

$$
F_m(t) \sim N^{-1} k_m e^{-t/Nk_m^{-1}}
$$
 for any t

# Mean First Passage Time

$$
\mathcal{F}_m(z) = \frac{k_m z}{2L (1 - z)} \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_m(z)}
$$

$$
T_m = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathcal{F}_m(z) \Big|_{z=1} \approx \frac{2L}{k_m} \mathcal{R}_m^*(1) + 1
$$

$$
= \frac{2L}{k_m} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (R_m(t) - R_m(\infty)) + 1.
$$

$$
T_m \approx \frac{2L}{k_m} \int_1^{t_x} [R_m(t) - R_m(\infty)] dt
$$

$$
\sim \begin{cases} N^{2/d_s} & (I) & d_s < 2, \\ N k_m^{-\alpha} & (II) & 2 < d_s < d_c, \\ N k_m^{-1} & (III) & d_s > d_c, \end{cases}
$$



Conclusions

- 1. Probability to return to the origin has been studied in diverse scale-free networks
- 2. First passage time problems have been studied in diverse scale-free networks

Complete analytic formulae for those quantities including crossover behavior over time are derived in terms of spectral dimensions,  $d_{\scriptscriptstyle\mathcal{S}}, \gamma$  ,  $k_{\scriptscriptstyle\mathcal{S}}, k_{m}$  , and  $N$  .

# Suppression effect on explosive percolations

B. Kahng Dept of Physics & Astronomy Seoul National University, Korea With Y.S. Cho



Mathematical Physics of Complex Networks: From Graph Theory to Biological Physics At MPI, Dresden, May 14-18, 2012

**http://cnrc.snu.ac.kr**

# 1. Background



1) The number of nodes is fixed as *N*.<br>2) Edges are added one by one to the system between two nodes randomly chosen at each time step.

 $\rightarrow$  Percolation transition at  $tc = Lc/N = 1/2$  $\rightarrow$  Continuous transition

# Achlioptas process

Achlioptas et al, Science (2009,3)

ERPR



- 1. Pick up two edge candidates randomly.
- 2. Calculate the product of two-cluster sizes: By e<sub>1</sub>,  $7*2=14$  vs. by e<sub>2</sub>,  $4*4=16$   $\rightarrow$  e<sub>1</sub> < e<sub>2</sub> (product rule)
- 3. Then, e1 is attached, and e2 is discarded.
- → Growth of large clusters is suppressed. → Percolation transition point is delayed.

# 2. Goal

Is the explosive percolation transition continuous or discontinuous ?

1) Achlioptas et al, **Explosive percolation transition**, Science (2009,3).

2) Many others.

- 1) R.A. da Costa, S.N. Dorogovtsev, A.V. Goltsev, J.F.F. Mendes **Explosive Percolation Transition is Actually Continuous,** PRL 105, 255701 (2010).
- 2) P. Grassberger, C. Christensen, G. Bizhani, S.-W. Son, M. Paczuski, **Explosive percolation is continuous, but with unusual finite size behavior,** PRL 106, 225701 (2011).
- 3) O. Riordan and L. Warnke, **Explosive percolation is continuous**, Science 333, 322 (2011).
- 4) H.K. Lee, B.J. Kim, and H. Park, **Continuity of the explosive PT**, PRE 84, 020101 (2011).

# **Avoiding Small Subgraphs in Achlioptas Processes**

#### Michael Krivelevich,<sup>1,\*</sup> Po-Shen Loh,<sup>2,†</sup> Benny Sudakov<sup>3,</sup>‡

<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel; e-mail: krivelev@post.tau.ac.il

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544; e-mail: ploh@math.princeton.edu

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, UCLA, Los Angeles, California 90095; e-mail: bsudakov@math.ucla.edu

Received 2 August 2007; accepted 13 October 2008 Published online 10 November 2008 in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com). DOI 10.1002/rsa.20254

# Introduction

### • Achlioptas process:

- start with the empty graph on n vertices
- in each step r edges are chosen uniformly at random (among all edges never seen before)
- select one of the **r** edges that is inserted into the graph, the remaining  $r - 1$  edges are discarded
- Goal: Avoid creating a copy of some fixed graph F



How long can we avoid F by this freedom of choice?

# Introduction

•  $N_0=N_0(F, r, n)$  is a threshold:



If F is a cycle, a clique or a complete bipartite graph with parts of equal size, an explicit threshold function is known. (Krivelevich, Loh, Sudakov, 2007+)



 $\checkmark$  The Achlioptas process (AP): the dynamics avoiding the formation of a given pattern in evolution of graph.

 $\checkmark$  The percolation model following the AP: the target pattern is giant component. Thus, **the dynamics has to be proceeded to avoid the formation of a giant cluster.** 

# 3. Classification of edge candidates



Inter-cluster edges

Inter-cluster edge  $+$  -  $+$  -  $+$   $+$   $+$ Intra-cluster edge

Intra-cluster edges

# Fraction of type (ii) & (iii)



 $t = L/N$ 

### 4. Model Variants (Product Rule)



For the case (ii)

ERPR-A (original rule)  $\mathsf{S}_1$ <sup>2</sup>=7<sup>2</sup> vs.  $\mathsf{S}_{2\mathsf{a}}$ \* $\mathsf{S}_{2\mathsf{b}}$ =4\*4=16  $\vert\phantom{a}$   $\vert\phantom{a}$   $\mathsf{R}$ Tak  $\rightarrow$ Take e<sub>2</sub> But  $\mathsf{e}_1$  is desirable

#### ERPR-B

 $\rightarrow$  Take e<sub>1</sub> (Absolutely) Cluster size unchanged

#### ERPR-C

Case (ii) is excluded.

### Model Variants (Sum Rule)



For the case (ii)

ERSR-A

$$
2S_1 = 2*7
$$
 vs.  $S_{2a} + S_{2b} = 4 + 4 = 8$ 

 $\rightarrow$ Take e<sub>2</sub>

But  $e_1$  is desirable

#### ERSR-B

 $\rightarrow$  Take e<sub>1</sub> (Absolutely) Cluster size unchanged

### ERSR-C

Case (ii) is excluded.

# 5. Intrinsic fault of product rule



For the case (i)

$$
S_{1a}^{*}S_{1b} = 7 \times 2 = 14 \text{ vs.}
$$
  
\n
$$
S_{2a}^{*}S_{2b} = 4 \times 4 = 16
$$
  
\n
$$
e_1 \text{ was taken in PR.}
$$





Fraction of suppression failure

### 6. Results



### 7. da Costa, Dorogovtsev, Goltsev, & Mendes model



### **Small-world network model by Watts & Strogatz**



Addition or rewiring of p=1/N fraction of links changes to the SW network

# Conclusions

- 1. Size-dependent behavior of the order parameter is sensitive to the dynamic rules.
- 2. This makes it hard to reach a conclusion (discontinuous or continuous transition) based on numerical data.
- 3. Comparison between randomness in choosing edge candidates and suppression strength should to be made analytically. The difference should be compared with the order of time delayed due to the addition of intra-cluster edges.