

# Lessons from Self-Similarity in Complex Networks



**MAPCON 2012** 

#### Marián Boguñá Departament de Física Fonamental Universitat de Barcelona

#### joint work with



M. Ángeles Serrano Departament de Física Fonamental Universitat de Barcelona, Spain



Dmitri Krioukov CAIDA, UCSD, USA





*"Fundamental symmetry principles dictate the basic laws of physics, control the structure of matter, and define the fundamental forces in nature."* 

Leon M. Lederman



*"Fundamental symmetry principles dictate the basic laws of physics, control the structure of matter, and define the fundamental forces in nature."* 

Leon M. Lederman

Can we find symmetries in networks?



*"Fundamental symmetry principles dictate the basic laws of physics, control the structure of matter, and define the fundamental forces in nature."* 

Leon M. Lederman

Can we find symmetries in networks?



**MAPCON 2012** 







*"Fundamental symmetry principles dictate the basic laws of physics, control the structure of matter, and define the fundamental forces in nature."* 

Leon M. Lederman

Can we find symmetries in networks?



*"Fundamental symmetry principles dictate the basic laws of physics, control the structure of matter, and define the fundamental forces in nature."* 

Leon M. Lederman

Can we find symmetries in networks? What about noisy (real) networks?



*"Fundamental symmetry principles dictate the basic laws of physics, control the structure of matter, and define the fundamental forces in nature."* 



C. Song, S. Havlin, H. A. Makse, Nature 433, 392-395 (2005) C. Song, S. Havlin, H. A. Makse, Nature Physics 2, 275-281 (2006)









































## Self-similar transformations: Russian dolls



### Self-similar transformations: Russian dolls





#### Self-similar transformations: Russian dolls



 $G_T(\{\alpha\})$ 

 $G_T(\{\alpha\}) = G(\{\alpha_T\})$ 

 $G(\{\alpha\})$ 

The ensemble is self-similar with respect to *T* when any subgraph belongs to the original ensemble but with transformed parameters



1) Assign each node a hidden variable  $\kappa$  distributed as

$$\rho(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_0^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$$



1) Assign each node a hidden variable  ${\cal K}$  distributed as

$$\rho(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_0^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$$

2) connect two nodes with hidden variables  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa'$  with probability





1) Assign each node a hidden variable  ${\cal K}$  distributed as

$$\rho(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_0^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$$

2) connect two nodes with hidden variables  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa'$  with probability



$$r(\kappa, \kappa') = f(\mu \kappa \kappa')$$

 $f(x) \le 1$ f(0) = 0



1) Assign each node a hidden variable  $\kappa$  distributed as

$$\rho(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_0^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$$

2) connect two nodes with hidden variables  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa'$  with probability

probability  
with this choice the net is maximally random  

$$r(\kappa, \kappa') = f(\mu \kappa \kappa')$$
 $f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + 1/x}$ 
 $f(x) \le 1$ 
 $f(0) = 0$ 

$$r(\kappa, \kappa') \approx \mu \kappa \kappa'$$
Canonical version of the configuration model.  $\kappa$  is the expected degree of the node

K. Anand, G. Bianconi, and S. Severini, Phys. Rev. E 83, 036109 (2011)









#### Models: type II





#### Models: type II



$$r(\theta,\kappa;\theta',\kappa') = h(\frac{d}{\mu\kappa\kappa'})$$

connection probability between a pair of nodes



#### Models: type II



$$r(\theta,\kappa;\theta',\kappa') = h(\frac{d}{\mu\kappa\kappa'})$$

#### connection probability between a pair of nodes



In both type I and II models, one can prove that

 $\bar{k}(\kappa) \propto \kappa$ expected degree of

expected degree of a node with hidden variable  $\kappa$ 



In both type I and II models, one can prove that

$$\overline{k}(\kappa) \propto \kappa \longrightarrow P(k) \sim k^{-\gamma}$$
 expected degree of a node with hidden variable  $\kappa$ 



а

In both type I and II models, one can prove that

10<sup>-8</sup>

10<sup>-10</sup>

10<sup>0</sup>

 $10^1$ 

$$\bar{k}(\kappa) \propto \kappa \longrightarrow P(k) \sim k^{-\gamma}$$
expected degree of  
a node with hidden  
variable  $\kappa$ 

$$\int_{10^{4}}^{10^{4}} \frac{\text{type II}}{\alpha = 4.5} \int_{10^{4}}^{0.4} \frac{\text{type II}}{\alpha = 4.5} \int_{0.4}^{0.4} \frac{\text{type II}}{\alpha$$

 $10^2$ 

k

0.1

0

1

 $10^4$ 

2

3

α

20

10<sup>3</sup>

- v = 2.5

4

5



M. Boguñá, F. Papadopoulos, and D. Krioukov, Nature Communications 1, 62 (2010)



M. A. Serrano, M. Boguñá, and F. Sagués, Molecular BioSystems 8, 843-850 (2012)



#### **Transformation** *T*

degree-thresholding renormalization procedure: keep only nodes with degrees above a certain threshold,  $k > k_T$ 



 $G(\{\alpha\})$ 



#### **Transformation** *T*

degree-thresholding renormalization procedure: keep only nodes with degrees above a certain threshold,  $k > k_T$ 





 $G_T(\{\alpha\})$


## **Transformation** *T*

**MAPCON 2012** 

degree-thresholding renormalization procedure: keep only nodes with degrees above a certain threshold,  $k > k_T$ 



 $G(\{\alpha\})$ 

 $G_T(\{\alpha\})$ 



## **Transformation** *T*

**MAPCON 2012** 

degree-thresholding renormalization procedure: keep only nodes with degrees above a certain threshold,  $k > k_T$ 



 $G(\{\alpha\})$ 

 $G_T(\{\alpha\})$ 



### **Transformation** *T*

degree-thresholding renormalization procedure: keep only nodes with degrees above a certain threshold,  $k > k_T$ 



 $G(\{\alpha\})$ 

 $\mathcal{G}_T(\{\alpha\})$ 



























## self-similarity of type II nets



## model: type II

Distribution of hidden variables

$$\rho(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_0^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$$

Connection probability

$$r(\kappa, \theta; \kappa', \theta') = h\left(\frac{d}{\mu\kappa\kappa'}\right)$$

$$\mu_{\rm II} = \frac{\langle k \rangle}{2\delta I \kappa_0^2} \left(\frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1}\right)^2$$





## self-similarity of type II nets

 $\kappa_0 \to \kappa_T$ 



### model: type II

Distribution of hidden variables

 $\rho(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_0^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$ 

Connection probability

$$r(\kappa, \theta; \kappa', \theta') = h\left(\frac{d}{\mu\kappa\kappa'}\right)$$

$$\mu_{\rm II} = \frac{\langle k \rangle}{2\delta I \kappa_0^2} \left(\frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1}\right)^2$$



## self-similarity of type II nets



 $\kappa_0 \to \kappa_T$  $N \to N_T = N(\kappa_0/\kappa_T)^{\gamma-1}$ 



#### model: type II

Distribution of hidden variables

 $\rho(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_0^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$ 

Connection probability

$$r(\kappa, \theta; \kappa', \theta') = h\left(\frac{d}{\mu\kappa\kappa'}\right)$$

$$\mu_{\rm II} = \frac{\langle k \rangle}{2\delta I \kappa_0^2} \left(\frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1}\right)^2$$

### self-similarity of type II nets



$$\kappa_0 \to \kappa_T$$
$$N \to N_T = N(\kappa_0/\kappa_T)^{\gamma-1}$$
$$\delta \to \delta_T = \delta(\kappa_0/\kappa_T)^{\gamma-1}$$



### model: type II

Distribution of hidden variables

 $\rho(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_0^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$ 

Connection probability

$$r(\kappa, \theta; \kappa', \theta') = h\left(\frac{d}{\mu\kappa\kappa'}\right)$$

$$\mu_{\rm II} = \frac{\langle k \rangle}{2\delta I \kappa_0^2} \left(\frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1}\right)^2$$

### self-similarity of type II nets



$$\kappa_0 \to \kappa_T$$
$$N \to N_T = N(\kappa_0/\kappa_T)^{\gamma-1}$$
$$\delta \to \delta_T = \delta(\kappa_0/\kappa_T)^{\gamma-1}$$



#### model: type II

Distribution of hidden variables

$$\rho(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_0^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$$

Connection probability

$$r(\kappa, \theta; \kappa', \theta') = h\left(\frac{d}{\mu\kappa\kappa'}\right)$$

Fixing the average degree

$$\mu_{\rm II} = \frac{\langle k \rangle}{2\delta I \kappa_0^2} \left(\frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1}\right)^2$$

## model: type II after T

#### Distribution of hidden variables

$$\rho_T(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_T^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$$

### self-similarity of type II nets



$$\kappa_0 \to \kappa_T$$
$$N \to N_T = N(\kappa_0/\kappa_T)^{\gamma-1}$$
$$\delta \to \delta_T = \delta(\kappa_0/\kappa_T)^{\gamma-1}$$



### model: type II

Distribution of hidden variables

$$\rho(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_0^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$$

Connection probability

$$r(\kappa, \theta; \kappa', \theta') = h\left(\frac{d}{\mu\kappa\kappa'}\right)$$

Fixing the average degree

$$\mu_{\rm II} = \frac{\langle k \rangle}{2\delta I \kappa_0^2} \left(\frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1}\right)^2$$

# model: type II after T

Distribution of hidden variables

$$\rho_T(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_T^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$$

Connection probability

$$r(\kappa, \theta; \kappa', \theta') = h\left(\frac{d}{\mu\kappa\kappa'}\right)$$

### self-similarity of type II nets



$$\kappa_0 \to \kappa_T$$
$$N \to N_T = N(\kappa_0/\kappa_T)^{\gamma-1}$$
$$\delta \to \delta_T = \delta(\kappa_0/\kappa_T)^{\gamma-1}$$



### model: type II

Distribution of hidden variables

$$\rho(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_0^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$$

Connection probability

$$r(\kappa, \theta; \kappa', \theta') = h\left(\frac{d}{\mu\kappa\kappa'}\right)$$

Fixing the average degree

$$\mu_{\rm II} = \frac{\langle k \rangle}{2\delta I \kappa_0^2} \left(\frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1}\right)^2$$

# model: type II after T

Distribution of hidden variables

$$\rho_T(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_T^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$$

Connection probability

$$r(\kappa, \theta; \kappa', \theta') = h\left(\frac{d}{\mu\kappa\kappa'}\right)$$

$$\mu_{\rm II} = \frac{\langle k \rangle}{2\delta I \kappa_0^2} \left(\frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1}\right)^2$$

### self-similarity of type II nets



$$\kappa_0 \to \kappa_T$$
$$N \to N_T = N(\kappa_0/\kappa_T)^{\gamma-1}$$
$$\delta \to \delta_T = \delta(\kappa_0/\kappa_T)^{\gamma-1}$$



### model: type II

Distribution of hidden variables

$$\rho(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_0^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$$

Connection probability

$$r(\kappa, \theta; \kappa', \theta') = h\left(\frac{d}{\mu\kappa\kappa'}\right)$$

Fixing the average degree

$$\mu_{\rm II} = \frac{\langle k \rangle}{2\delta I \kappa_0^2} \left(\frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1}\right)^2$$

# model: type II after T

Distribution of hidden variables

$$\rho_T(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_T^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$$

Connection probability

$$r(\kappa, \theta; \kappa', \theta') = h\left(\frac{d}{\mu\kappa\kappa'}\right)$$

$$\mu_{\rm II} = \frac{\langle k \rangle_T}{2\delta_T I \kappa_T^2} \left(\frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1}\right)^2$$

### self-similarity of type II nets



$$\kappa_0 \to \kappa_T$$
  
 $N \to N_T = N(\kappa_0/\kappa_T)^{\gamma-1}$   
 $\delta \to \delta_T = \delta(\kappa_0/\kappa_T)^{\gamma-1}$ 



#### model: type II

Distribution of hidden variables

 $\rho(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_0^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$ 

 $\mu_{\rm II} = \frac{\langle \kappa \rangle}{2\delta I \kappa_0^2} \left(\frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1}\right)^2$ 

## model: type II after T

Distribution of hidden variables

$$\rho_T(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_T^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$$

Fixing

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Conn}\\ \langle k \rangle \to \langle k \rangle_T = \langle k \rangle (\kappa_T / \kappa_0)^{3 - \gamma} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \text{Connection probability}\\ r(\kappa, \theta; \kappa', \theta') = h\left(\frac{d}{\mu \kappa \kappa'}\right) \end{array}$ 

$$\mu_{\rm II} = \frac{\langle k \rangle_T}{2\delta_T I \kappa_T^2} \left(\frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1}\right)^2$$

### self-similarity of type II nets



$$\kappa_0 \to \kappa_T$$
  
 $N \to N_T = N(\kappa_0/\kappa_T)^{\gamma-1}$   
 $\delta \to \delta_T = \delta(\kappa_0/\kappa_T)^{\gamma-1}$ 



#### model: type II

### model: type II after T

Distribution of hidden variables Distribution of hidden variables  $\rho(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_0^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$  $\rho_T(\kappa) = (\gamma - 1)\kappa_T^{\gamma - 1}\kappa^{-\gamma}$  $\begin{array}{l} \text{Conn} \\ \langle k \rangle \to \langle k \rangle_T = \langle k \rangle (\kappa_T / \kappa_0)^{3 - \gamma} \\ r(\kappa, k) \\ \langle k \rangle \to \langle k \rangle_T = \langle k \rangle \left( \frac{N}{N_T} \right)^{(3 - \gamma)/(\gamma - 1)} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \text{Connection probability} \\ r(\kappa, \theta; \kappa', \theta') = h\left( \frac{d}{\mu \kappa \kappa'} \right) \\ \text{Fixing the average degree} \end{array}$  $\mu_{\rm II} = \frac{\langle k \rangle}{2 \delta I \kappa^2} \left( \frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1} \right)^2$  $\mu_{\rm II} = \frac{\langle k \rangle_T}{2\delta_T I \kappa_{\pm}^2} \left(\frac{\gamma - 2}{\gamma - 1}\right)^2$ 



## Self-similarity of real networks

$$\langle k \rangle \to \langle k \rangle_T = \langle k \rangle (\kappa_T / \kappa_0)^{3 - \gamma}$$
  
 $\langle k \rangle \to \langle k \rangle_T = \langle k \rangle \left(\frac{N}{N_T}\right)^{(3 - \gamma)/(\gamma - 1)}$ 















### measuring clustering



#### measuring clustering



#### measuring clustering





## **Aplications: percolation threshold**







If  $2 < \gamma < 3$  the average degree in the subgraphs is bigger than in the original graph



## **Aplications: percolation threshold**

$$\langle k \rangle \rightarrow \langle k \rangle_T = \langle k \rangle \left( \frac{N}{N_T} \right)^{(3-\gamma)/(\gamma-1)}$$



If  $2 < \gamma < 3$  the average degree in the subgraphs is bigger than in the original graph





## **Aplications: percolation threshold**

$$\langle k \rangle \rightarrow \langle k \rangle_T = \langle k \rangle \left( \frac{N}{N_T} \right)^{(3-\gamma)/(\gamma-1)}$$



If  $2 < \gamma < 3$  the average degree in the subgraphs is bigger than in the original graph





## **Aplications: percolation threshold**







we have a graph here

If  $2 < \gamma < 3$  the average degree in the subgraphs is bigger than in the original graph





## **Aplications: percolation threshold**





## **Aplications: percolation threshold**





Giapt compone

0

0

## **Aplications: percolation threshold**







we have a graph here



a finite threshold percolating phase



## Simulation results: bond percolation





Typical growing network models are self-similar by construction under a transformation that selects nodes older than a certain age





Typical growing network models are self-similar by construction under a transformation that selects nodes older than a certain age





Typical growing network models are self-similar by construction under a transformation that selects nodes older than a certain age




Typical growing network models are self-similar by construction under a transformation that selects nodes older than a certain age





Typical growing network models are self-similar by construction under a transformation that selects nodes older than a certain age





Typical growing network models are self-similar by construction under a transformation that selects nodes older than a certain age





Typical growing network models are self-similar by construction under a transformation that selects nodes older than a certain age



but are designed to have a constant average degree within self-similar subgraphs









node i appearing at time i with  $m_i = m_0 \left( \frac{N}{i} \right)^\eta$  new connections (0  $<\eta <$  1)





node i appearing at time i with  $m_i = m_0 \left( \frac{N}{i} \right)^\eta$  new connections (0  $<\eta < 1$ )

the node connects to randomly chosen existing nodes







node i appearing at time i with  $m_i = m_0 \left(\frac{N}{i}\right)^\eta$  new connections (0  $<\eta<1$ )

the node connects to randomly chosen existing nodes

if  $\eta = 0$  the network has an exponential degree distribution





node i appearing at time i with  $m_i = m_0 \left( \frac{N}{i} \right)^\eta$  new connections (0  $<\eta <$  1)

the node connects to randomly chosen existing nodes

if  $\eta = 0$  the network has an exponential degree distribution

if  $\eta > 0$  the degree distribution is power law with exponent  $\gamma = 1 + 1/\eta$ 





node i appearing at time i with  $m_i = m_0 \left( \frac{N}{i} \right)^\eta$  new connections (0  $<\eta < 1$ )

the node connects to randomly chosen existing nodes

if  $\eta = 0$  the network has an exponential degree distribution

if  $\eta > 0\,$  the degree distribution is power law with exponent  $\gamma = 1 + 1/\eta\,$ 

The ensemble is self-similar with a transformed average degree





node i appearing at time i with  $m_i = m_0 \left( \frac{N}{i} \right)^\eta$  new connections (0  $<\eta < 1$ )

the node connects to randomly chosen existing nodes

if  $\eta=0$  the network has an exponential degree distribution

if  $\eta > 0\,$  the degree distribution is power law with exponent  $\gamma = 1 + 1/\eta\,$ 

The ensemble is self-similar with a transformed average degree

$$\langle k \rangle \rightarrow \langle k \rangle_T = \langle k \rangle \left( \frac{N}{N_T} \right)^{1/(\gamma - 1)}$$



 $\eta = 1/4 \ (\gamma = 5)$ 







- Self-similarity is observed in many real networks and model ensembles
- It provides a minimalistic proof of the absence of percolation threshold in a very large number of network models
- The proof can be applied to any phase transition where the threshold is a monotonous function of the average degree

M. A. Serrano, D. Krioukov, and M. Boguñá, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 078701 (2008)
M. Boguñá, F. Papadopoulos, and D. Krioukov, Nat. Comm. 1, 62 (2010)
M. A. Serrano, D. Krioukov, and M. Boguñá, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 048701 (2011)
M. A. Serrano, M. Boguñá, and F. Sagués, Mollecular BioSystems 8, 843-850 (2012)