

Geometric Floquet Theory

In this problem set, our goal is to drive an alternative, geometric decomposition of the unitary evolution operator for periodically-driven systems described by the Hamiltonian $H(t+T) = H(t)$, and relate it to Floquet's theorem:

$$U(t, 0) = \mathcal{T} \exp \left(-i \int_0^t H(s) ds \right) \stackrel{\text{Floquet thm}}{=} P(t) \exp(-itH_F[0]), \quad (1)$$

where $P(t+T) = P(t)$ is the micromotion operator, and $H_F[0]$ is the Floquet Hamiltonian at Floquet gauge $t_0 = 0$ (see notes from class). We denote by $T = 2\pi/\omega$ the period (frequency) of the drive.

1. Show that the Floquet Hamiltonian at Floquet gauge t , $H_F[t]$, satisfies the relation

$$H_F[t] = H(t) - (i\partial_t P(t))P^\dagger(t). \quad (2)$$

Argue that $H_F[t] = H_F[t+T]$ is periodic in the Floquet gauge.

2. Define $\mathcal{A}_F(t) = (i\partial_t P(t))P^\dagger(t)$ and show that $\mathcal{A}_F(t)$ is a proper gauge potential for the Floquet eigenstates $H_F[t]|n_F[t]\rangle = \varepsilon_n^F|n_F[t]\rangle$. What is the physical manifestation of the control parameter λ in this case?

3. Show that the lab-frame Hamiltonian $H(t)$,

$$H(t) = H_F[t] + \mathcal{A}_F(t) = H_{\text{CD}}(t), \quad (3)$$

generates counterdiabatic driving for the Floquet states.

4. Recall the general $U(1)$ re-phasing gauge transformation for the adiabatic gauge potential,

$$|n[\lambda]\rangle \mapsto |n'[\lambda]\rangle = e^{i\chi_n(\lambda)}|n[\lambda]\rangle.$$

Now use the periodicity of the Floquet states $|n[t+T]\rangle = |n[t]\rangle$ and the properties of the quasienergies to show that the most general form of the gauge transformation which preserves the Floquet structure reads as

$$\chi_n(t) = m_n \omega t, \quad m_n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4)$$

What is the gauge group for $\mathcal{A}_F(t)$? What is the manifestation/meaning of the gauge transformation for $H_F[t]$?

5. Consider the gauge-invariant Kato potential, $\mathcal{A}_K(t)$, in the Floquet case. Using it, show the alternative ‘‘Kato’’ decomposition

$$H(t) = H_K(t) + \mathcal{A}_K(t), \quad (5)$$

and define the Kato Hamiltonian $H_K(t)$ and the Kato AGP $\mathcal{A}_K(t)$ in terms of the Floquet Hamiltonian $H_F[t]$ and the Floquet AGP $\mathcal{A}_F(t)$.

6a. Determine the eigenstates of the Kato Hamiltonian $H_K(t)$ and compare them to those of $H_F[t]$. Show that the Kato energies are given by $\varepsilon_n^K(t) = \langle n_F[t]|H(t)|n_F[t]\rangle$.

6b. Compare the Kato and Floquet AGPs: which phases do the Floquet states accumulate under evolution over one drive cycle, for each of the two AGPs?

7a. Like in CD driving, consider the change-of-frame transformation infinitesimally generated by $\mathcal{A}_K(t)$, and compute the co-moving frame Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}(t)$. Find an expression for the evolution operator in the co-moving frame.

7b. Apply the general expression derived in class for the unitary operator in the lab frame in terms of the unitary operator in the co-moving frame and the frame transformation itself, to show that the lab-frame evolution operator can be decomposed as

$$U(t, 0) = \mathcal{W}(t, 0) \exp(-it\mathcal{A}(t, 0)), \quad (6)$$

where the Average Energy operator is given by

$$\mathcal{A}(t, 0) = \sum_n \mathfrak{a}_n(t) |n_F[0]\rangle\langle n_F[0]|, \quad \mathfrak{a}_n(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \varepsilon_n^K(s) ds,$$

and the Wilson line operator is

$$\mathcal{W}(t, 0) = \mathcal{T} \exp\left(-i \int_0^t \mathcal{A}_K(s) ds\right)$$

Is the Wilson line operator $\mathcal{W}(t, 0)$ a valid micromotion operator?

8. Now consider stroboscopic times $t = \ell T$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$U(\ell T, 0) = W(\ell T) \exp(-i\ell T\mathcal{A}(T, 0)).$$

Argue that the Wilson loop operator $W(T) = \mathcal{W}(T, 0)$ is a unitary operator that does not depend on the Floquet gauge/initial time; show that its eigenvalues are given by the geometric phases $\gamma_n(T)$ of the Floquet states. Use this to argue that the average energy operator over one period generates the dynamical phases $\phi_n(t)$ and relate those to its eigenvalues $\mathfrak{a}_n(t)$.

9a. Show the quasienergy decomposition

$$T\varepsilon_n^F = T\mathfrak{a}_n(T) + \gamma_n(T). \quad (7)$$

Argue that this decomposition holds at arbitrary times t .

9b. Why is defining a Floquet ground state using the quasienergies is inappropriate? Argue that the eigenvalues $\mathfrak{a}_n(T)$ can be used to unambiguously sort the Floquet states and define a Floquet ground state.

Equation (6) is called the geometric Floquet decomposition. It appears that inherently nonequilibrium effects, such as Floquet anomalous topological insulators and Floquet time crystals [later in the course], are geometric in their origin as their physics is determined by the geometric phases.